Bacteria That Cause Dentoalveolar Abscesses after Failed Endodontic Treatments: A Pilot Study

Sinan Rusinovci¹, Milaim Sejdini¹, Sami Salihu¹, David Stubljar²*, Naim Haliti¹

1. Faculty of Medicine Prishtina, UCCK, Prishtina, Kosovo.

2. In-Medico, Department of Research and Development, Metlika, Slovenia.

Abstract

Failed endodontic treatments often lead to more severe conditions that need surgical procedures. The aim of this study was to identify which microorganisms caused dental abscesses.

50 pus samples from patients with dental abscesses were examined for bacterial growth and 50 samples from healthy gingiva of healthy individuals were swabbed for comparison of bacterial etiology. Isolated pathogenic bacterial were compared and bacteria were identified using MALDI-TOF.

Bacterial strains were positively identified in 42 out of 50 patients with dental abscesses. 16 different microorganisms from 100 subjects (patients with dentoalveolar abscesses and controls) were isolated. In 18 (36.0%) out of the 50 samples only aerobic flora was present, in 10 (20.0%) out of 50 only strictly anaerobic flora, and in 22 (44.0%) out of 50 abscesses mixed aerobic anaerobic flora was isolated.

Isolated oral microorganisms in our study did not vary with significance compared to healthy oral microbiota, thus commensal microbiota were the main cause of dental abscesses. Cultivating and culture testing take time providing results in few days, what is usually too late, and modern methods of microbial identification are expensive. Most of oral microbiota is uncultivable therefore modern methods of identification are necessary, especially at polymicrobial infections.

Experimental article (J Int Dent Med Res 2018; 11(3): 823-829) Keywords: Bacterial identification, Dentoalveolar abscesses, Oral microbiota, Maxillofacial surgery, Novel microbiologic methods.

Received date: 29 January 2018

Accept date: 28 February 2018

Introduction

Dental abscess is a frequently occurring infectious process known to the health practice. The fate of the infection depends on the virulence of the bacteria, host resistance factors, and regional anatomy. Serious consequences arising from the spread of a dental abscess lead to significant morbidity and mortality.¹⁻³ Bacteriological agents implicated in causation of dental abscesses comprise of the complex mix of strict anaerobes and facultative anaerobes. Culture and molecular studies show that different bacteria have been identified in different types of endodontic infections.⁴ Depending upon the

*Corresponding author: David Stubljar Department of Research & Development, Mestni trg 11, Metlika, Slovenia. E-mail: d.stubljar@gmail.com recovery and cultural conditions, strict anaerobes outnumber facultative by a ratio which varies between 1.5 and 3:1 in mixed infections.^{5,6} Dental abscesses caused solely by strict anaerobes occur in approximately 20% of cases. Although there is a wide range depending upon recovery conditions (6-63%) it has been observed that pure cultures from acute dental abscesses are unusual⁷⁻¹¹ and mixed aerobic infections are also quite uncommon, accounting totally 6% of abscesses.¹¹ Polymicrobial nature of such infections and presence of cultivable and uncultivable microbes may pose a challenge toward diagnostic analysis in routine microbiological laboratories.

In the past, inappropriate methods of sampling hampered correct identification of the causative pathogens involved in the development of the dental abscess¹² choice of sample type and method of sampling are crucial to optimal diagnostic efficacy. Ideally, an aspirate through intact mucosa after disinfection by an appropriate antiseptic mouthwash, e.g., chlorhexidine should

 $Volume \cdot 11 \cdot Number \cdot 3 \cdot 2018$

be collected. This will reduce contamination from the normal oral flora. Some researchers have also sampled purulent exudates from within infected canals.^{12,13}

Significant improvement in the routine diagnostic yield from acute dental abscesses has occurred with employment of meticulous specimen collection and processing on selective appropriate nonselective agars under and atmospheric conditions. However, despite the close attention to detail, it is apparent that many genera of bacteria have yet to be cultured. A major limitation of past cultural studies is that a large percentage of the oral microflora does not grow on conventional artificial culture media in the laboratory.¹⁴

Dental abscess and its complications position a substantial burden on individuals, communities, and the health-care system; hence, early diagnosis and appropriate intervention are extremely important. Determination of various host and environmental factors that put an individual at risk for development of dental abscess, influence the spread of infection from a localized collection at the apex of a tooth to a cellulitis and further life-threatening sepsis would aid treatment decisions. Increased reliance on novel molecular techniques has enriched our knowledge of the diverse polymicrobial collection that constitutes a dental abscess. Therefore, the aim of this study was with novel microbiologic methods to identify the bacterial strains that failed cause abscesses after endodontic treatment and compare the results with common oral microflora.

Materials and methods

Study design

Study was designed as a controlled, case-control prospective trial. Selected patients and controls gave positive consent for participation in the study. National Ethics Committee of Kosovo approved the study design.

Patients were selected from the list of patients, who visited our clinic due to surgical procedure of removal of dentoalveolar abscess. The criteria for inclusion in the study were: >18 years of age, dental abscess after failed endodontic treatments, patients did not use antibiotics two weeks before abscess formation. Criteria for elimination from the study:

compromised immunity, patients with uncontrolled comorbidities, patient with infections, taking antibiotics.

Subjects

Study consisted of two groups of subjects; cases and controls. The case group consisted of 50 patients with dental abscess; the control group consisted of 50 healthy individuals with no abscess or concomitant diseases. Healthy controls were selected in terms that basic characteristics coincided with the data of case group.

Sampling

Pus from dentoalveolar abscesses were collected with a swab from 50 adult patients. In addition, 50 swab samples were collected from healthy controls for comparing the oral flora of healthy oral cavity and oral flora from abscesses. Totally 100 swab samples were collected for bacterial inoculation.

During surgical procedure of removing dentoalveolar abscess, a swab of pus was taken and stored in sterile transporting medium for bacterial cultivation-Transystem Stuart. Samples from healthy individuals were taken with the same principle. All samples were transported within 48 h after sampling at ambient temperature in transporting medium in plastic bags under anaerobic condition using the Anaerocult® system (Merck). Upon arrival at the laboratory. samples were inoculated and bacterial growth on cultivated for blood. chocolate agar for aerobes and anaerobic blood agar plates (SNVS agar, SCS agar) for anaerobes.

Samples were incubated aerobically in incubation chamber at 37 °C for 2 days. Meanwhile, inoculated anaerobic plates were incubated anaerobically (5% CO2, 10% H2 and 85% N2) for 2 days at 37 °C using the Anoxomat System[™] (MART Microbiology BV, Netherlands). If there was no bacterial growth after 2 days, the incubation period has been prolonged to one week.

After incubation the growth of bacterial colonies on plates was evaluated. Bacterial colonies were first identified by eye according to the morphological characteristics (shape, colour, thickness of colonies, smell, haemolysis on blood

 $Volume \cdot 11 \cdot Number \cdot 3 \cdot 2018$

agar plate). After that, single colony was administered for molecular identification.

Molecular identification of bacterial strains

Identification of bacterial strains was performed by acquisition of the peptide mass spectra for protein identification of bacteria on an Ultraflex Matrix Assessed Laser Desorption Ionization- Time of Flight/Time of Flight Mass Spectrometer (MALDI-ToF/ToF MS; BRUKER Daltonic GmbH, Germany). Bacterial colony was administered using plastic loop on a special plate MALDI/TOF identification. Colony was for confluently smeared on the marked part of the identification plate. The sample was overlaid by special matrix, and the plate was inserted into MALDI/TOF identifier. Identification of bacteria was given by computer software according to the protein profile.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using Statistical Package for Social Sciences 21 (IBM, New York, USA). One-Eay ANOVA statistical test with post-hoc Tukey test were used with the comparison of quantitative variables and Pearson chi-square test for comparison of qualitative variables. Statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

Results

Basic caharacterisitcs of subjects are presented in Table 1. Healthy controls did not statistically differ from the group of cases in any of the characteristics. Comorbidities were detected in 8 (16.0%) out of 50 case patients, two of them had simultaneously controlled diabetes.

In the analysis 100 swab samples were included, of which 50 were pus samples from dental abscesses, and additional 50 samples were swabs of healthy gingiva from healthy individuals. Bacterial strains were positively identified in 42 out of 50 patients with dental abscesses. Identified aerobic and anaerobic bacteria are presented in Table 2. 16 different microorganisms from 100 subjects (patients with dentoalveolar abscesses and controls) were isolated.

Cases (N=50)	Controls (N=50)	<i>P</i> -value
39.2±14.5	36.9±19.9	0.663
28/22	25/25	0.658
8 (16.0%)	0	0.096
8	/	
2	/	
	39.2±14.5 28/22 8 (16.0%) 8	Cases (N=50) (N=50) 39.2±14.5 36.9±19.9 28/22 25/25 8 (16.0%) 0 8 /

 Table 1: Basic characteristics of subjects included in the study

AERO	Abscess samples (N=50)	Healthy samples (N=50)
Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci	2	20
Alpha-hemolytic Streptococcus	10	18
Enterococcus spp.	20	11
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	10	14
Streptococcus mitis	1	0
Streptococcus mutans	2	0
Streptococcus sanguinis	1	0
Streptococcus spp.	3	2
Escherichia coli	4	2
Staphylococcus aureus	0	3
Yeast	4	1
Polymicrobial infection ANR	6	0
Actinomyces spp.	19	8
Bacteroides spp.	6	6
Fusobacterium nucleatum	3	0
Lactobacillus spp.	1	10
Prevotella spp.	6	0
Negative	21	31

Table 2: List of identified aerobic and anaerobic

 microorganisms from oral cavity swabs

* AERO - aerobic bacteria, ANR - anaerobic bacteria

 \dagger 0 - no growth of bacterial was observed even after prolonged cultivation

‡ Polymicrobial infection - identification was due to polymicrobial sample impossible even with molecular methods

In 18 (36.0%) out of the 50 samples only aerobic flora was present, in 10 (20.0%) out of 50 only strictly anaerobic flora, and in 22 (44.0%) out of 50 abscesses mixed aerobic anaerobic flora was isolated.

Same microorganisms were identified from healthy oral cavity and from the abscesses. In 6 cases only polymicrobial infections could be evaluated and were impossible to precisely identify causative pathogen. In 4 cases yeasts were identified, thus the colonies were microscopically examined with the native microscopic slides, and *Candida albicans* was identified as pathogenic yeast.

Discussion

Current preventive measures in endodontics could not be able to destroy the remaining bacteria after primary therapy so complications at the end lead to development of periapical lesions, cysts and abscesses that need surgical removal. The current study was conducted to identify bacteria from dental abscesses.

The samples of pus from dentoalveolar abscesses and samples from healthy oral cavity of controls were collected for identification of oral flora, which could have caused dental abscesses. Bacterial strains were identified in 42 out of 50 patients with dental abscesses. In some cases polymicrobial infections were observed, what unable to more precisely identify causative pathogen. Due to strict identification methods by MALDI/TOF, identification only on the level of genus was possible. Despite the superiority of methodology, when there are present more than three different but related bacterial strains, it is almost impossible to identify them on species level.

A wide range of aerobic and anaerobic bacteria were detected in all samples. The ratio between aerobes and anaerobes was nearly 2:1 for all 100 subjects. The results indicate that bacterial strains do not differ between healthy oral cavity and abscesses confirming that normal oral microbiota can be pathogenic and can cause dentoalveolar abscesses. Same microorganisms were identified from healthy oral cavity and from the pus samples. Most commonly identified healthy aerobic flora was coagulase-negative staphylococci. alpha-hemolytic Streptococcus, and Enterococcus spp. Most identified anaerobes were Actinomyces spp., Lactobacillus spp. and Bacteriodes spp.

The microorganisms that have cause dentoalveolar abscesses in our study were more aerobic than anaerobic bacteria. In 36.0% of cases they were strictly aerobic, in lower 20.0% of cases the flora was strict anaerobes, and most 44.0% of cases the oral flora were mixed aerobic-anaerobic. Most identified healthy aerobic flora were coagulase-negative

staphylococci, alpha-hemolytic Streptococcus, Klebsiella Enterococcus spp. and spp. haemolyticus Streptococcus represents а standard bacteria against which antibacterial actions do not work properly. E. faecalis is the most resistant species in the oral cavity and possible cause of failure of root canal treatment.¹⁵ Most identified anaerobes were Actinomyces spp., Lactobacillus spp. and Bacteriodes spp. Our results do not coincide with the findings that describe the proportion of 6% of aerobes, 50% of the anaerobes and 44% share of mixed aerobic anaerobic flora in isolates of 39 patients.⁹ Much more aerobes were find from our analysis. In a study by Goumas et al¹¹ on 52 patients, the authors isolated 154 bacterial agents, of which the aerobic share was 6%, 17% were anaerobes, and 75% were mixed aerobicanaerobic flora. In a similar study, authors isolated 127 bacterial agents with 18% aerobic and 82% anaerobic and mixed aerobic anaerobic flora.⁵ Our results are in concordance with the percent of mixed aerobic-anerobic infections. Among aerobic agents, the predominant isolate was genus Streptococcus¹⁶ and from anaerobics mostly Fusobacterium and Bacteroides were found.17,18 Other bacteria found in the oral commensal flora can include coagulase-negative staphylococci, gram-negative cocci, what was also proved in our analysis. Bacteria that are potentially pathogenic and are sometimes found in the oral cavity include Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis, S. pneumoniae, Streptococcus pyogenes, Neisseria meningitidis, members of the Enterobacteriaceae family and Actinomycetes.¹⁹

Our analysis and results confirmed that in healthy oral cavity there are more aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacteria than strict anaerobes, compared to the abscesses. Secondly, cultures of aerobic bacteria were more polymicrobial and diverse compared to the abscess samples. Commonly present oral bacteria can therefore cause infections and the development of dentoalveolar enable in patients, who have failed abscesses endodontic treatment, especially if the patient is host for anaerobes. This oral microflora can therefore cause dentolaveolar abscesses in parts of oral cavity that is damaged or contains open wound. Our patients with dental abscesses were not immuno-compromised patients and still common oral flora caused the development of

dental abscesses. Similar study was performed by Ewringmann on rabbits.²⁰ The most commonly isolated anaerobes were gram-negative Prevotella spp., Fusobacterium spp., Bacteroides spp. and gram-positive non-sporulating cocci mostly Peptostreptococcus spp. Of the aerobes, the author confirmed that 66.7% were gramnegative mostly Pasteurella spp., Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas spp., while 33.3% were grammostlv Streptococcus positive spp., Staphylococcus spp. The results of bacteria are similar to our findings, while we have also found some sample of E. coli, Bacteriodes, Prevotella and Fusobacterium. The microflora of dental infections is typically polymicrobial consisting of various facultative and strict anaerobes.²¹ The dominant isolates are strictly anaerobic gramnegative rods and gram-positive cocci.22

As was proved in all mentioned studies most dentoalveolar infections arise from overgrowth of normal commensal microflora within the oral cavity, as a result of changes in conditions of local environment, leading to opportunistic infections. Once microbial growth minimum infective exceeds the dose. а dentoalveolar infection and abscess development may arise.²³ It is widely accepted that dentoalveolar infections affecting the periapical tissues are predominantly consisted of strictly anaerobic gram-positive cocci and gram-negative rods mixed with facultative anaerobic flora.^{16,23,24} Mostly identified bacterial strain in our study was Enterococcus spp. as a presented of facultative anaerobic gram-positive cocci. The role of *Enterococcus faecalis* is a key-stone pathogen in posttreatment disease.^{25,26} In many studies it has been identified as one of the most frequent microorganisms that cause post-treatment diseases.²⁵⁻²⁷ E. faecalis as facultative anaerobe is able to survive long period without nutrients.²⁸ Thus, it invades oral cavity developing bacterial biofilm, which provides protection against irrigating and other disinfection agents and it makes it difficult to eliminate.²⁸⁻³⁰ Our findings are in line with studies that have shown the status of *E. faecalis* as the main pathogen in post-treatment oral diseases.^{31,32} Moreover, although certain bacteria can be recognized as a key pathogen, it is the synergistic activity of the whole bacterial community that interferes with host system immune and tissue causes destruction.33,34 Enterococcus spp. with the formation of bacterial biofilm, attaches to a solid

surface in a nutrient-containing fluid, the microbial cells are embedded in an extracellular matrix, and interact with each other. The microorganisms living in a biofilm can selforganize, resist environmental changes, act synergistically and respond to the changes in the environment as a community.^{34,35} Bearing in mind the tendency of microorganisms to form intraradicular and extraradicular biofilms, the future metagenomic studies should be oriented on the pathologic potential of bacterial biofilms rather than on a single microorganism, despite relatively few bacterial species are involved in persistant abscesses. E. faecalis has the ability to survive in unfavorable conditions. The study by Dewa Ayu et al.³⁶ found the the average amount of E. faecalis is almost twice as much in the cases of endodontic infections. Microorganisms after primary endodontic treatment penetrate into the root canal and dental tubulus, what explans average yield relative amount of E. faecalis in endodontic retreatments, which are almost seven times higher than in the group of primary endodontic treatment.³⁶ Beside *Enterococcus* spp., in many cases of abscesses we have also identified Actinomyces spp., which in terms is also frequently associated with failed endodontic treatment even with the help of resistance to antibiotics.

Our study had some limitations. Despite quite high collection of subjects and samples, main limitation of our study was that only 16 species have been consistently isolated from dentoalveolar abscesses due to the limitations of culture-dependent methods. Approximately 50% of the oral microbiota is still uncultivable, therefore modern methods of identification are necessary, especially at polymicrobial infections.³⁷ Thus, the role of more fastidious or still uncultivable microorganisms in the pathogenesis of primary endodontic infections or persistent dentoalveolar abscesses may have been underestimated. Molecular methods for bacterial identification based on 16S rRNA sequencing represent a valuable tool for both identification of cultivable and uncultivable pathogens and determination of their taxonomic position.³⁸ Direct amplification of 16S rDNA genes from extracted DNA from microorganisms in clinical samples, followed by sequencing of the genes, could allow identification of bacterial communities in their entirety, without the biases of culturing.³⁹ MALDI/TOF, which was used in the

is one of the modern current analysis, technologies in microbiology, but identification still requires the first step of cultivating the clinical samples. Therefore, uncultivable bacteria could still not be identified. According to Unlu et al.⁴⁰ the most common abscess formation sites are mandibular posterior (74.8% of cases), maxillar posterior (9.6%); maxillar anterior (8.9%) and mandibular anterior (6.5%) regions. In our study we have not analyzed the anatomical regions, where abscesses were presented and an evaluation of diagnostic methods was also not included which could identify the anatomic locations of infections. The accuracy of abscess detection in head and neck infections is improved by the combination of clinical examination and contrastenhanced CT.⁴⁰ Another limitation was that our study was designed as a pilot study so we did not test the antibiotic resistance of isolated bacteria. Further study could also cultivate the samples from healthy side of oral cavity from the individuals with dental abscesses and compare the isolated microorganism between the swabs.

Conclusions

The isolated oral microorganisms in our study did not vary with significance compared to healthy oral microbiota, thus commensal microbiota is the main cause of dental abscesses.

Endodontic abscesses rarely cause lifethreatening diseases consequently rapid microbiologic identification is not usually necessary. Cultivating and culture testing take time providing results in few days, what is usually too late, and modern methods of microbial identification are expensive.

Most of oral microbiota is uncultivable therefore modern methods of identification are necessary, especially at polymicrobial infections. Further investigations are necessary to estimated more bacterial strains and perhaps to include analysis of bacterial resistance to antibiotics.

Acknowledgements

All authors have made substantive contribution to this study and/or manuscript, and all have reviewed the final paper prior to its submission. No funding was received.

Declaration of Interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Del Fabbro M, Corbella S, Sequeira-Byron P, et al. Endodontic procedures for retreatment of periapical lesions. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;10:CD005511.
- 2. Bertossi D, Barone A, Iurlaro A, et al. Odontogenic orofacial infections. J Craniofac Surg 2017;28(1):197-202.
- 3. Shweta, Prakash SK. Dental abscess: A microbiological review. Dent Res J (Isfahan). 2013;10(5):585-91.
- Siqueira JF Jr, Rôças IN. Diversity of endodontic microbiota revisited. J Dent Res. 2009;88(11):969-81.
- Khemaleelakul S, Baumgartner JC, Pruksakorn S. Identification of bacteria in acute endodontic infections and their antimicrobial susceptibility. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2002;94(6):746-55.
- Külekçi G, Inanç D, Koçak H, Kasapoglu C, Gümrü OZ. Bacteriology of dentoalveolar abscesses in patients who have received empirical antibiotic therapy. Clin Infect Dis 1996;23(Suppl 1):S51–3.
- Fazakerley MW, McGowan P, Hardy P, Martin MV. A comparative study of cephradine, amoxycillin and phenoxymethylpenicillin in the treatment of acute dentoalveolar infection. Br Dent J. 1993;174(19):359-63.
- Reader CM, Boniface M, Bujanda-Wagner S. Refractory endodontic lesion associated with Staphylococci aureus. J Endod. 1994;20(12):607-9.
- Brook I, Frazier EH, Gher ME. Aerobic and anaerobic microbiology of periapical abscess. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 1991;6(2):123-5.
- **10.** Spijkervet FK, Vissink A, Raghoebar GM. The odontogenic abscess. Aetiology, treatment and involvement in the orofacial region. Ned Tijdschr Tandheelkd. 2004;111(4):120-7.
- Goumas PD, Naxakis SS, Papavasiliou DA, Moschovakis ED, Tsintsos SJ, Skoutelis A. Periapical abscesses: Causal bacteria and antibiotic sensitivity. J Chemother. 1997;9(6):415-9.
- Lewis MA, MacFarlane TW, McGowan DA. A microbiological and clinical review of the acute dentoalveolar abscess. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1990;28(6):359-66.
- Gomes BP, Pinheiro ET, Gadè-Neto CR, et al. Microbiological examination of infected dental root canals. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2004;19(2):71-6.
- Blome B, Braun A, Sobarzo V, Jepsen S. Molecular identification and quantification of bacteria from endodontic infections using real-time polymerase chain reaction. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2008;23(5):384–90.
- **15.** Saha S, Saha S, Samadi F, Jaiswal JN, Ghoshal U. An *in vitro* evaluation of antimicrobial activity of different endodontic sealers. J Int Dent Med Res. 2010;3(3):108-15.
- Stefanopoulos PK, Kolokotronis AE. The significance of anaerobic bacteria in acute orofacial odontogenic infections. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2004;98(4):398-408.
- Kuriyama T, Karasawa T, Nakagawa K, Yamamoto E, Nakamura S. Incidence of beta-lactamase production and antimicrobial susceptaibility of anareobic gramnegative rods isolated from pus specimens of orofacial odontogenic infections. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2001;16(1):10-5.
- **18.** Facklam R. What happened to the streptococci: overview of taxonomic and nomenclature changes. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2002;15(4):613-30.
- Sweeney LC, Dave J, Chambers PA, Heritage J. Antibiotic resistance in general dental practice - a cause for concern? J Antimicrob Chemother. 2004;53(4):567-76.
- **20.** Ewringmann A. Microbial flora and antibiotic susceptibility of purulent tooth diseases in rabbits. Tierarztl Prax Ausg K Kleintiere Heimtiere 2017;45(6).

 $Volume \cdot 11 \cdot Number \cdot 3 \cdot 2018$

- Eckert AW, Just L, Wilhelms D, Schubert J. Dentogenic infections-part I: the significance of bacterial isolation of dentogenic infections under routineous conditions. Wien Med Wochenschr 2012;162(13-14):316-20.
- 22. Ogle OE. Odontogenic Infections. Dent Clin North Am. 2017;61(2):235-52.
- **23.** Dirks SJ, Terezhalmy GT. The patient with an odontogenic infection. Quintessence Int. 2004;35(6):482-502.
- Sandor GK, Low DE, Judd PL, Davidson RJ. Antimicrobial treatment options in the management of odontogenic infections. J Can Dent Assoc. 1998;64(7):508-14.
- Gründling GL, Zechin JG, Jardim WM, Dias de Oliveira S, Poli de Figueiredo JA. Effect of ultrasonics on *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilm in a bovine tooth model. J Endod. 2011;37(8):1128-33.
- Fimble JL, Fontana CR, Foschi F, et al. Photodynamic treatment of endodontic polymicrobial infection *in vitro*. J Endod. 2008;34(6):728-34.
- Estrela C, Sydney GB, Figueiredo JA, Estrela CR. A model system to study antimicrobial strategies in endodontic biofilms. J Appl Oral Sci. 2009;17(2):87-91.
- Fonseca MB, Junior POT, Pallota RC, et al. Photodynamic therapy for root canals infected with *Enterococcus faecalis*. Photomed Laser Surg. 2008;26(3):209-13.
- Lim Z, Cheng JL, Lim TW, et al. Light activated disinfection: an alternative endodontic disinfection strategy. Aust Dent J. 2009;54(2):108-14.
- **30.** Bouilaguet S, Wataha JC, Zapata O, Campo M, Lange N, Schrenzel J. Production of reactive oxygen species from photosensitizers activated with visible light sources available in dental offices. Photomed Laser Surg. 2010;28(4):519-25.
- **31.** Kaufman B, Spangberg L, Barry J, Fouad AF. *Enterococcus* spp.in endodontically treated teeth with and without periradicular lesions. J Endod. 2005;31(12):851-6.
- **32.** Zoletti GO, Siqueira JF Jr, Santos KR. Identification of Enterococcus faecalis in root-filled teeth with or without periradicular lesions by culture-dependent and -independent approaches. J Endod. 2006;32(8):722-6.
- **33.** Wang J, Chen W, Jiang Y, Liang J. Imaging of extraradicular biofilm using combined scanning electron microscopy and stereomicroscopy. Microsc Res Tech. 2015;78(10):947.
- **34.** Ricucci D, Siqueira JF Jr. Biofilms and apical periodontitis: study of prevalence and association with clinical and histopathologic findings. J Endod. 2010;36(8):1277-88.
- **35.** Ricucci D, Candeiro GT, Bugea C, Siqueira JF Jr. Complex Apical Intraradicular Infection and Extraradicular Mineralized Biofilms as the Cause of Wet Canals and Treatment Failure: Report of 2 Cases. J Endod. 2016;42(3):509-15.
- 36. Dewa Ayu NPA, Bachtiar BM, Soerono Akbar SM, Victor AA. Quantitative real-time PCR of cps type 1, 2 and 5 of *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Candida albican* isolated from infected root canal of subject requiring endodontic treatment. J Int Dent Med Res. 2016;9(3):157-63.
- Siqueira, JF, Roças IN, Oliveira JCM, Santos KRN. Detection of putative oral pathogens in acute periradicular abscesses by 16S rDNA-direct polymerase chain reaction. J Endod. 2001;27(3):164-7.
- Clarridge JE 3rd. Impact of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis for identification of bacterial on clinical microbiology and infectious diseases. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2004;17(4):840-62.
- Munson MA, Pitt-Ford T, Chong B, Weightman A, Wade WG. Molecular and cultural analysis of the microflora associated with endodontic infections. J Dent Res. 2002;81(11):761-6
- Unlu G, Agacayak S, Tari V, Alan H. Odontogenic abscess: a retrospective study (549 case between 1998-2008). J Int Dent Med Res. 2009;2(3):77-80.