Factors Related to Oral Hygiene and Dietary Behaviors in Children During the Pandemic-Lockdown

Ecem Akbeyaz Sivet^{1*}, Deniz Berber², Betul Sen Yavuz¹, Betul Kargul¹

Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Dental School, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
 Undergraduate Student; Dental School, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.

Abstract

To analyze the impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on oral hygiene practices and eating habits in Turkish children and the relationship between parental employment status and education levels.

A cross-sectional survey was carried out from March 2021 to May 2021 and conducted on 402 children (aged 5-14 years).Parents were interviewed using a structured questionnaire on current demographics and dietary and oral hygiene habits in children before and during the lockdown. The caries experience was measured using the DMFT index. Relationships between dependent categorical variables were tested using the Chi-square test, the Mc-Nemar test, and the Wilcoxon rank test.

Consumption of processed food increased, and tooth brushing frequency (TBF) decreased in the total population. TBF was associated with the employment status changes of the mother. Children in low-education groups showed more unhealthy food consumption, lower TBF, and less parental supervision than those in high-education groups.

COVID-19 lockdown negatively influenced children's eating and tooth-brushing habits. School closure and the change in parental employment patterns could have affected their daily routine.

Clinical article (J Int Dent Med Res 2023; 16(3): 1181-1188) Keywords: COVID-19, lockdown, dietary behavior, children's diet, oral hygiene. Received date: 04 July 2023 Accept date: 02 August 2023

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) 2 causes а respiratory disease called coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19), representing one of the major medical emergencies in recent years. The first case was reported in Wuhan, China, at the end of 2019, and the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the global virus pandemic as a public health emergency of international importance on March 11, 2020. The pandemic led governments to impose strict restrictions on their citizens to prevent the spread of infection in the community. These restrictions included workfrom-home and school, shop, and restaurant closures to control the contagion.¹

*Corresponding author: Assist Prof. Dr. Ecem Akbeyaz Sivet, Marmara University, Department of Pediatric Dentistry Marmara University Recep Tayyip Erdoğan Complex Health Campus, Dental School, Başıbüyük Yolu 9/3 34854 Maltepe, ISTANBUL / TURKEY E-mail: ecemakbeyaz@gmail.com Turkey was one of the European countries most severely affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, with more than 14 million people infected as of April 8, 2022. Turkish families faced sudden and significant changes, especially in daily habits and lifestyles.²

Children have a prominent role in community-based virus transmission. Child-tochild transmission occurs more readily in group settings, such as playgrounds, kindergartens, and schools.³ On account of school closures, online learning was implemented for all primary, secondary, and high school students in Turkey, as in many other countries.⁴ Mandatory isolation to control the COVID-19 pandemic disrupted daily routines, diet, activity, and sleep habits.⁵ Dental caries is common in schoolchildren and directly related to inappropriate eating habits and inadequate oral hygiene.⁶ Parental behaviors, demographic factors, and employment status may impact dietary choices and oral hygiene behaviors, increasing the incidence of caries in children.7

Good oral hygiene is part of general health and contributes to disease prevention,

Volume · 16 · Number · 3 · 2023

quality of life, and physical and psychological health.⁸ Parental attitudes and responsibilities of parents toward their children's oral health are important to ensure optimal oral health in the future, and families should help children achieve proper oral hygiene and a regulated diet.^{9,10}

To the best of our knowledge, there is no study evaluating the impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on oral hygiene practices and eating habits in schoolchildren in and its relationship between parental education and employment status. Therefore, this study aimed to analyze the daily lifestyle of a group of Turkish children during quarantine and evaluate its possible impact on oral hygiene practices and eating habits.

Materials and methods

Setting and participants

This descriptive cross-sectional study was guidelines conducted following the of "Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology" (STROBE statement). Children between 5 to 14 years were randomly selected using simple random sampling from the outpatient clinic at Pediatric Dentistry, Pediatric Dentistry, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey from March 2021 to May 2021. Data on caries status were collected using visual-tactile examinations without radiographs. Caries status was recorded as decayed, missing, and filled permanent teeth (DMFT) and primary teeth (dmft) according to the WHO criteria (2013).¹¹

The inclusion criterion was chronological age between 5 to 14 years. Exclusion criteria were systemic disease, craniofacial anomalies, orthodontic treatment, special needs, or refusal to give informed consent.

The sample size was calculated at 389, based on previous study ¹², using G*power version 3.1.9.6. (α =0.05, 1- β =0.80, effect size:0.82).

Questionnaire design

The present study was reviewed and approved by the Marmara University Faculty of Dentistry Ethics Committee (Protocol number: 09.2021.583). and Parents children were provided oral and written information about the study as part of the consent process. The questionnaire was designed in Turkish to evaluate oral hygiene practices and eating habits children; randomly among researchers

administered structured questionnaires to parents accompanying their children during a dental visit at the Pediatric Dentistry Department. The questionnaire was pretested on 25 participants, and incomprehensible or confusing questions were edited and excluded from the study.

The questionnaire required respondents to provide information on three aspects: I) sociodemographic characteristics II) daily diet and eating habits III) oral health of children.

In the socio-demographic characteristics section, data collected included details of the age and gender of the child, the parent's education level, and current employment status-particularly on work from home and primary caregiver during the lockdown. In the second part, participants were asked about food consumed between meals before and during the quarantine, including packaged sweets (candies, chocolates), salted snacks (chips, salty sticks, and crackers), starchy foods (cakes, biscuits), fruits, nuts, vegetables, sugary and acidic drinks, and probiotic products). In the third section, caries experience among children was calculated using dmft and DMFT indices, with four questions regarding oral hygiene habits (frequency of toothbrushing, duration of toothbrushing, parental supervision, and dental visit during the pandemic).

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics are given as numbers and percentages. The normal distribution of data was checked using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Relationships between dependent categorical variables were tested using the Mc-Nemar test, Wilcoxon rank test, and Chi-square tests. SPSS 22.0 Windows version package program was used in the analysis. P<.05 was considered significant.

Results

Study participants

A total of 402 children (between 5 to 14 years) participated in the study (225 girls (56%); 177 boys (44%) boys). The mean age (\pm standard deviation) was 9.8 \pm 2.45 years. The mean of the dmft index and DMFT indices were 2.32 \pm 2.57 and 3.23 \pm 2.74, respectively. The number of non-carious lesions in children was very low (1.7%), and 395 (98.2%) children had dental caries.

Volume · 16 · Number · 3 · 2023

Parental education levels and employment status

The distribution of parents according to education level and employment status is presented in Table 1. One of the parents was a university graduate, and another was either a university graduate or a high school graduate. Therefore, before the statistical analysis, the educational status of the parents was divided as follows:

High-education (HE) group: (at least one of the parents is a university graduate or both parents are high school graduates) (n=155)

Low-education (LE) group: (at least one of the parents is an illiterate or primary/middle school graduate) (n=247).

increase in consumption of "packaged sweets" (P=.001), "salted snacks" (P=.001), "starchy foods" (P=.027), "sugary and acidic drinks" (P=.001) and a statistically significant decrease in consumption of "fruits" (P=.049) and "vegetables" (P=.017) in all samples before and during the lockdown. "Nut" consumption before and during the lockdown was similar (18.2% and 18.9%, respectively) (P>.05).

Table 2 compares the snacks consumed before and during the lockdown by parental educational level. Children in the low-education group consumed packaged sweets (P=.001) and salted snacks (P=.001) more frequently, and vegetables (P=.001) and nuts (P=.023) less than the high-education group before lockdown. There was no difference between the education level groups during lockdown.

Education level Mother Illiterate Father Primary/middle school High school University Father Primary/middle school High school University High school High school High school University Going to workplace High school	(%) 11 (2.7) 186 (46.3) 154 (38.3) 51 (12.7) 3 (0.7)
Education level Mother Primary/middle school High school University Father Primary/middle school Father Primary/middle school High school University University University Going to workplace Ending to workplace	(2.7) 186 (46.3) 154 (38.3) 51 (12.7) 3
Mother High school High school University Father Primary/middle school High school University Going to workplace Going to workplace	186 (46.3) 154 (38.3) 51 (12.7) 3
Education level High school University Illiterate Primary/middle school High school University Going to workplace	(38.3) 51 (12.7) 3
Education level Father Father High school University Going to workplace	(12.7)
Father Father Illiterate Finary/middle school High school University Going to workplace	•
Father High school University Going to workplace	
High school University Going to workplace	170 (42.3)
Going to workplace	167 (41.5)
	62 (15.4)
	37 (9.2)
Flexible	48 (11.9)
Mother Home office	25 (6.2)
Not working	263 (65.4)
Quit the job	29 (7.2)
Going to workplace	206 (51.2)
Flexible	91 (22.6)
Father Home office	29 (7.2)
Not working	38 (9.5)
Quit the job	38

Table 1. Education level and employment status of parents.

Dietary habits

In response to the questions on snacks preferences between meals before and during the lockdown (shown in Table 2), Mc-Nemar analysis revealed a statistically significant

Volume \cdot 16 \cdot Number \cdot 3 \cdot 2023

		Before	lockdo	wn	During	lockdov	wn	Total				
		Educat	ion		Educat	ion		Before	During lockdown			
		High	Low	Р	High	Low	_	lookdown				
		N (%)	N (%)	•	N (%)	N (%)	Р	N (%)	N (%)	Р		
Packaging	Yes	50 (32.3)	131 (53.3)	.001	130 (83.9)	214 (86.6)	.442	181 (45.1)	344 (85.6)	.001†		
sweets	No	105 (67.7)	115 (46.7)	.001	25 (16.1)	33 (13.4)	.442	220 (54.9)	58 (14.4)			
Salted	Yes	102 (65.8)	202 (82.1)	.001	140 (90.3)	209 (84.6)	.100*	304 (75.8)	349 (86.8)	.001†		
snacks	No	53 (34.2)	44 (17.9)		15 (9.7)	38 (15.4)	.100	97 (24.2)	53 (13.2)			
Starchy	Yes	124 (80)	222 (89.9)	.005*	146 (94.2)	220 (89.1)	.080*	346 (86.1)	366 (91)	.027 [†]		
foods	No	31 (20)	25 (10.1)		9 (5.8)	27 (10.9)		56 (13.9)	36 (9)			
Fruits	Yes	138 (89)	211 (85.8)	.344*	134 (86.5)	201 (81.4)	.184*	349 (87)	335 (83.3)	.049†		
	No	17 (11)	35 (14.2)		21 (13.5)	46 (18.6)		52 (13)	67 (16.7)			
	Yes	38 (24.5)	38 (15.4)		30 (19.4)	43 (17.4)		76 (18.9)	73 (18.2)			
Nuts	No	117 (75.5)	209 (84.6)	.023	125 (80.6)	204 (82.6)	.622*	326 (81.1)	329 (81.8)	.832†		
Vegetables	Yes	34 (21.9)	16 (6.5)	.001 [°]	16 (10.3)	14 (5.7)	.084*	50 (12.4)	30 (7.5)	.017 [†]		
-	No	121 (78.1)	231 (93.5)		139 (89.7)	233 (94.3)		352 (87.6)	372 (92.5)			
Sugary and	Yes	17 (11)	38 (15.4)	.210	22 (14.2)	46 (18.6)	249	55 (13.7)	68 (16.9)	.001†		
Acidic drinks	No	138 (89)	209 (84.6)	.210	133 (85.8)	201 (81.4)	.249	347 (86.3)	334 (83.1)	.001		

Table 2. Changes in dietary habits according to education level of parents.

^{*} Chi-square test, [†] Mc-Nemar test, Bold font: P<.05 level.

Table 3 shows the comparison of parental employment status. Consumption of "packaged sweets" showed a statistically significant increase in all employment statuses for both parents. Of the study population, 66 (29.1%) parents made

COVID-19's Effects on Oral Hygiene & Nutrition Ecem Akbeyaz Sivet et al

dietary changes using probiotics in their child's diet during the lockdown period, and most users (95%) preferred yogurt and kefir.

Oral Hygiene Habits

Toothbrushing frequency (TBF)

In all children, TBF significantly changed during lockdown (P= .001). Nearly half of the parents (48.8%) indicated that "TBF" was "once a day" before lockdown and 29.1% during the lockdown; 14.9% of the parents reported "TBF" was "twice a day" before lockdown and 5% during lockdown (Table 4).

TBF in the low-education group was statistically significantly lower than in the HE group before lockdown (P= 0.001) and during lockdown (P= .001) (Table 4). Change in the employment status of the mother was significantly associated with TBF. TBF in children whose mothers responded "go to the workplace" (*P*= .001), "not working" (*P* = .001), and "quit the job" (P = .001) showed a statistically significant decrease. There was no significant change in the groups when the mother chose the "flexible" (P=.08) or "home-office" (P=.06) option (Table 5).

		Before	lockdo	wn	During	lockdov	vn	Total		
		Educat	tion		Education			Before lockdown	During lockdown	
		High	Low	_	High	Low	_			_
		N (%)	N / (%)	Ρ	N (%)	N (%)	Р	N (%)	N (%)	P
TBF	More than 2 times a day	0	0		3 (1.9)	0		0	3 (0.7)	
	2 times a day	58 (37.4)	2 (0.8)	.001 [°]	20 (12.9)	0	.001	60 (14.9)	20 (5)	.001
	Once a day	57 (36.8)	139 (56.3)		67 (43.2)	50 (20.2)		196 (48.8)	117 (29.1)	-
	Not at all	40 (25.8)	106 (42.9)		65 (41.9)	197 (79.8)		146 (36.3)	262 (65.2)	
	Less than 1 minutes	150 (96.8)	246 (99.6)		146 (94.2)	247 (100)		396 (98.5)	393 (97.8)	
TBD	1-2 minutes	5 1 (3.2) (0.4)		.023	9 (5.8)	0	.001 [°]	6 (1.5)	9 (2.2)	.549
	More than 2 minutes	0	0		0	0		0	0	
PS	Yes	17 (11)	0	.001 [°]	16 (10.3)	0	.001 [°]	17 (4.2)	16 (4)	1.00
гJ	No	138 (89)	247 (100)		139 (89.7)	247 (100)	.001	385 (95.8)	386 (96)	

Table 4. Change of oral hygiene habits according to education level of parents

Tooth brushing duration (TBD)

TBD (P=.549) did not show a statistically significant difference in the sample (Table 4) and also in different employment statuses of mothers and fathers (Table 5).

TBD of the LE group was statistically significantly lower than the TBD of the HE group before lockdown (P=.02) and during lockdown (P=.001).

Parental Supervision (PS)

A minority of participants in the whole sample indicated that they assisted their children during tooth brushing (BL: 4.2% and DL: 4%, (P=1.00)) Parental supervision in the loweducation group was statistically significantly lower than in the high-education group before lockdown (P= .001) and during lockdown (P=.001) (Table 4).

Other factors

A total of 152 (37.8%) parents reported they had visited a dentist at least once since the beginning of the lockdown; the majority (26.3%) reported toothache or swelling. Primary caregivers to the child during the pandemic quarantine were as follows: mother (58.5%), father (1.7%), both parents (34.1%), and grandparents (5.7%).

Discussion

This cross-sectional study showed the association of the COVID-19 outbreak on dietary behaviors and oral hygiene among children and its relationship between parental employment status and education level. School closures could have long-term deleterious consequences for child health and affect the work abilities of parents.¹³ The pandemic-related quarantine can be considered a stressful event, and such events are known to affect eating patterns.¹⁴ Brooks et al.¹⁵ reported a systematic review of 24 studies examining psychological states. Results showed that the guarantine associated with the pandemic increased the risk of depression, stress, low mood, and acute and post-traumatic stress symptoms among health workers, parents, and children. Extended stay-at-home may also support eating and snacking.¹⁶ Bonaccio M et al. reported that there was increased consumption of unhealthy foods and a worsening of healthy dietary habits during the COVID-19 lockdown.¹ The current study found increased consumption of "packaged sweets," "salted snacks," "starchy foods," and "sugary and acidic drinks" and consumption of "fruits" decreased and "vegetables" in all samples during the lockdown period (Table 2). Consistent with the present results, earlier studies demonstrated an increase in sweet consumption and the number of meals ¹⁸and increased intake of red meat, chips, and

Volume · 16 · Number · 3 · 2023

sweetened beverages during the COVID-19 lockdown in the pediatric population.⁵ López-Bueno et al.¹⁹ reported a significant reduction in fruit and vegetable consumption in children during the COVID-19 lockdown, consistent with our findings. Contrary to these results, a study involving adults showed that lockdown led to higher consumption of foods characterizing the Mediterranean dietary pattern.²⁰ The fact that some parents were obligated to work from home may be one of the reasons they had difficulty preparing healthy meals for their children, which may have led to the worsening of their dietary habits, as almost all children were at an age when they did not know how to cook for themselves.¹⁹ Solutions that help parents reliably secure affordable, nutritious foods for their families need priority for better child health.¹⁰

There is a strong connection between education, income, and life expectancy. Sociodemographic factors, dietary habits, and oral hygiene practices demonstrate an association with dental caries.²¹ The current study findings confirmed the association between parental education level toward the development of oral hygiene and dietary habits in their children. The low-education group consumed more "packaged sweets" and "salted snacks" and fewer "nuts" and "vegetables" before the lockdown than the higheducation group (Table 2). Similar to the results of the present study, a previous study indicated negative changes in diet, sleep, and lifestyle behaviors in children and adolescents with obesity during 3 weeks of lockdown.[°]

Another study conducted in Greece reported that children's frequency of toothbrushing was decreased and daily consumption of food and sugary snacks was increased.²²

Parental perception is crucial to child health and influences their well-being. Parents should directly participate in oral hygiene and care measures, such as tooth brushing and diet regulation, for children.²³ Being at home in the lockdown with the interruption of their daily routines can cause physical and psychological difficulties for children. Regular tooth brushing is an inseparable and important part of daily routine care and is associated with other lifestyle events, such as breakfast and bedtime.²⁴ TBF showed associations with emotional distress in adults

during the COVID-19 pandemic.²⁵ The current study found that TBF in most participants decreased during the pandemic period (Table 4), probably because children did not attend school for face-to-face education. School closure, online education, increased number and frequency of meals, and no time constraints for tooth brushing due to staying at home could be responsible for the increase in irregular brushing.²⁶ Another study reported that the overall parental attitude and practices were poor toward the oral health of their children during the lockdown period of the COVID-19 pandemic.²⁷ Contrary to these results, some studies reported that most participants did not change their oral hygiene habits during the COVID-19 pandemic.^{18,28}

Parental attitudes toward child oral health are important and related to socioeconomic factors such as educational level. ²⁹ Our study confirmed that TBF, TBD, and PS were associated with parental education levels. The percentage of those who brushed their teeth at least once a day was lower in the low-education group compared to the high-education group. The low-education group reported more "not at all" answers for TBF questions than the higheducation group before and during lockdown periods. Overall, participants in the LE group indicated TBD was "less than 1 minute" and "no" to answers for PS (Table 5).

We found some associations between the changed employment statuses of mothers and TBF. Compared to children whose mothers responded "flexible" or "home-office," children whose mothers replied, "go to workplace" or "not working" and "quit the job" were less likely to show a decrease in TBF, probably because mothers, who reported to the workplace before the pandemic, stayed at home and took more care of their children (Table 5). Another study investigating parental employment status and child oral health reported that children with both parents working had more carious teeth.⁹

Kalyoncu et al.³⁰ conducted an online questionnaire study using WhatsApp on Turkish children between 8 to 18 years and found that consumption of fast food, packaged food, and carbonated beverages decreased during the COVID-19 outbreak. The reasons for obtaining different results from our study may be listed as follows: 1) The age group of the participating children was different 2) The questionnaire was

sent via WhatsApp to mothers, and the participants belonged to the author's environment and therefore from a better socio-economic environment 3) the study excluded patients who applied to the dental clinic for any reason.

The present study had some limitations. First, the study had a cross-sectional research design. Second, the data were derived from a sample of parent interviews, and there were no quantitative measures of dietary and oral hygiene habits. These limitations are understandable, given the requirements prevailing at the time of this study.

Conclusions

The COVID-19 pandemic had ล significant impact on human life, leading governments to impose strict restrictions on citizens to prevent community spread of infection. For children, being guarantined at home brought psychological difficulties, and school more closures could have long-term deleterious consequences for their health and parents' ability to work.During the lockdown in Turkey, children showed an increased preference for junk food and sugary foods, and the psychological effects

of the pandemic resulted in less attention to selfcare, such as tooth brushing. This survey found that oral hygiene and dietary behaviors changed negatively among children in Turkey during the COVID-19 lockdown, with an increased risk factor of caries compared to protective factors.Furthermore, parental employment status changes and level of education may have an impact on children's dietary choices and oral hygiene behaviors. This study provides a snapshot of the possible impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on diet and oral hygiene habits in the Turkish pediatric population. Overall, these findings underscore the importance of promoting healthy habits, particularly during times of crisis, to mitigate the negative impact on children's health.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to the children and parents for their participation in our study.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

1	Emplo	oyment stat	us of mothe	er N ('	%)												
		Going	to workpla	ce Flexible				Home office			Not working			Qu	it the job		
		BL	DL	Р	BL	DL	P	BL	DL	P	BL	DL	Р	BL	DL	Р	
Packaging	Yes	13(35)	31(83.8)	.001	21(43.8)	41(85.4)	.001	6(24)	22(88)		124(47.3)	222(84.4)	.001 -	17(58.6)	28(96.6)	001	
sweets	No	24(64.9)	6(16.2)		27(56.3)			19(76)	3(12)		138(52.7)			12(41.4)	1(3.4)		
Caltad ana alaa	Yes	27(73)	33(89.2)	100	37(77.1)	44(91.7)	.092	16(64)	20(80)	.344	201(76.7)	228(86.7)	.005	23(79.3)	24(82.8)	1.000	
Salted snacks	No	10(27)	4(10.8)		11(22.9)	4(8.3)		9(36)	5(20)	.344	61(23.3)	35(13.3)		0(20.7)	J(17.2)		
Starchy foods	Yes	33(89.2)	32(86.5)	1 000	42(87.5)	44(8.3)	707	19(76)	24(96)	.125	225(85.6)	238(90.5)	.092 -	27(93.1)	28(96.6)	1 000	
Starchy 100ds	No	4(10.8)	5(13.5)	1.000	6(12.5)	4(8.3)	./2/	6(24)	1(4)	.125	38(14.4)	25(9.5)	.092	2(6.9)	1(3.4)	1.000	
Fruits	Yes	30(81.1)	25(67.6)	.180	45(93.8)	43(89.6)	.687	21(84)	24(96)	.250	230(87.8)	219(83.3)	.035	23(79.3)	24(82.8)	1.000	
Fluits	No	7(18.9)	12(32.4)	.180	3(6.3)	5(10.4)		4(16)	1(4)		32(12.2)	44(16.7)		6(20.7)	5(17.2)		
Nuts	Yes	3(8.1)	8(21.6)	.125	12(25)	7(14.6)		10(40)	5(20)	.180	47(17.9)	47(17.9)	1 000.	4(13.8)	6(20.7)		
INUIS	No	34(91.9)	29(78.4)	.125	36(75)	41(85.4)		15(60)	20(80)	.180	216(82.1)	216(82.1)	1.000	25(86.2)	23(79.3)		
Vagatablas	Yes	4(10.8)	3(8.1)	1.000	6(12.5)	3(6.3)	.453	9(36)	3(12)	.109	28(10.6)	18(6.8)	.143 -	3(10.3)	3(10.3))	
Vegetables -	No	33(89.2)	34(91.9)		42(87.5)	45(93.8)		16(64)	22(88)	.109	235(89.4)			26(89.7)	26(89.7)		
Sugary and	Yes	4(10.8)	6(16.2)	.500	3(6.3)	6(12.5)	.250	3(12)	4(16)	1.000	41(15.6)	47(17.9)	070 -	4(13.8)	5(17.2)	1 000	
acidic drinks	No	33(89.2)	31(83.8)	.500	45(93.8)	42(87.5)		22(88)	21(84)	1.000	222(84.4)	216(82.1)	.070	25(86.2)	24(82.8)	1.000	
Employment st	atus o	f father N ((%)														
	Going to workpla Packaging Yes 82(39.8) 172(83.5)								ome offic			t working		<u> </u>	it the job		
Packaging	Yes	82(39.8)	172(83.5)	.001	40(44.4)	76(83.5)	.001		27(93.1)	.002	18(47.4)	38(100)	.001		28(96.6)	.001	
sweets	No	124(60.2)	34(16.5)		50(55.6)	15(16.5)		14(48.3	. /		20(52.6)	0		12(41.4)	1(3.4)		
Salted snacks		141(68.4)		.001		80(87.9)	.017		22(75.9)	.180	34(89.5)	36(94.7)	.687 -	23(79.3)		1.000	
		65(31.6)	28(13.6)		· /	11(12.1)		. ,	7(24.1)		4(10.5)	2(5.3)		6(20.7)	5(17.2)		
Starchy foods		174(84.5)	· · ·	164		85(93.4)	.041		28(96.6)	1.000	34(89.5)	35(92.1)	1.000	27(93.1)	28(96.6)	1 000	
Starchy loous	No	32(15.5)		.104	16(17.6)			1(3.4)	1(3.4)		4(10.5)	4(7.9)	1.000	2(6.9)	1(3.4)	1.000	
Fruits		178(86.8)	<u> </u>	.036		76(83.5)			27(93.1)	.250	32(84.2)	31(81.6)	1.000	23(79.3)	24(82.8)	1.000	
Fluits	No	27(13.2)	40(19.4)	.030	12	15(16.5)	.508	5(17.2)	. ,		6(15.8)	7(18.4)	1.000	6(20.7)	5(17.2)	1.000	
Nuts		44(21.4)	35(17)	.243	19	18(19.8)	1.000	3(10.3)	3(10.3)		6(15.8)	6(15.8)	1.000-	4(13.8)	6(20.7)	.727	
		162(78.6)	171(83)		12	73(80.2)		20(0).7	26(89.7)		32(84.2)	32(84.2)		25(86.2)	23(79.3)		
Vegetables		26(12.6)	17(8.3)	.163	14	4(4.4)	.031		4(13.8)	1.000	4(10.5)	1(2.6)	.250 -	3(10.3)	3(10.3)	1.000	
		180(87.4)			77	87(95.6)		24(82.8	25(86.2)		34(89.5)	37(97.4)		26(89.7)	26(89.7)		
Sugary and		26(12.6)	33(16)	.016	11	13(14.3)	.500		6(20.7)	.500	5(13.2)	7(18.4)	.500 -	4(13.8)	5(17.2)	1.000	
acidic drinks	No	180(87.4)	173(84)		80	78(85.7)	.500	25(86.2	23(79.3)		33(86.8)	31(81.6)	.500	25(86.2)	24(82.8)	1.000	

Mc-Nemar test, **Bold font: P<.05 level** BL: Before lockdown, DL: During lockdown

Table 3. Changes in dietary habits according to employment status of parents.

		N (%)		tatus of												
		Going	to work	place	Flexibl	е		Home	office		Not wo	rking		Quit the	e job	
		BL	DL	Ρ	BL	DL	Ρ	BL	DL	Ρ	BL	DL	Ρ	BL	DL	Ρ
	More than 2 times a day		0		0	2 (4.2)		0	0		0	1 (0.4)		0	0	
TBF	2 times a day Once a	6 (16.2) 25	2 (5.4) 11	.001*	10 (20.8) 20	5 (10.4) 14	†	11 (44) 7	6 (24)		29 (11) 133	7 (2.7) 75	.001 [†]	4 (13.8) 11	0	005† _
	day Not at all	(67.6) 6	(29.7) 24		(41.7) 18	(29.2) 27		(28) 7	10 (40) 9	-	(50.6) 101	(28.5) 180	-	(37.9) 14	(24.1) 22	
	Less than	(16.2) 36	(64.9) 37		(37.5) 46	(56.3) 45		(28) 25	(36)		(38.4) 260	(68.4)		(48.3)	(75.9) 28	
	1 minutes 1-2	(97.3) 1	. ,		(95.8) 2	(93.8) 3	- 1.00*	(100)	22 (88)	.08†	<u>(98.9)</u> 3	(99.2) 2	-	(100)	(96.6)	317†
TBD	minutes More than	(2.7)	0		(4.2)	(6.3)		0	(12)		<u>(1.1)</u>	(0.8)	1.00*	0	(3.4)	
	2 minutes	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
PS	Yes	1 (2.7)	0	317†	(6.3)	(6.3)	1.00*	(28)	8 (32)	-1.00*	(2.3)	(1.5)	625*	0	1 (3.4)	317†
	No	36 (97.3)	37 (100)		45 (93.8)	45 (93.8)		18 (72)	17 (68)		257 (97.7)	259 (98.5)		29 (100)	28 (96.6)	
		Emplo N (%)	yment s	tatus of	father											
			to work	place	Flexibl	е		Home	office		Not wo	rking		Quit the	e job	
		BL	DL	Р	BL	DL	Р	BL	DL	Р	BL	DL	Р	BL	DL	Р
	More than 2 times a day		1 (0.5)		0	1 (1.1)		0	1 (3.4)		0	0		0	0	
	2 times a	35	14	0011	17	5		6	•	- 001†	1	0	_ .001 [†]	1	1	002†
TBF	day	(17)	(6.8)	.001 [†]	(18.7)	(5.5)	.001†	(20.7)	0	.001 [†]	(2.6)	0	.001 [†]	(2.6)	(2.6)	.002
TBF	Once a	92	(6.8) 62 (30.1)	.001†	45	(5.5) 31 (34.1)		$\frac{(20.7)}{13}$	7	.001†	23	10	001†	$\frac{(2.6)}{23}$ (60.5)	(2.6) 7 (18.4)	002
TBF		92 (44.7) 79	62 (30.1) 129	001†	45 (49.5) 29	31 (34.1) 54		13 (44.8) 10	7 (24.1) 21	.001 [†]	23 (60.5) 14	10 (26.3) 28	001 [†] _	23 (60.5) 14	7 (18.4) 30	002 ⁺
TBF	Once a day Not at all Less than	92 (44.7) 79 (38.3) 205	62 (30.1) 129 (62.6) 201	001†	45 (49.5) 29 (31.9) 88	31 (34.1) 54 (59.3) 89		13 (44.8) 10 (34.5) 27	7 (24.1) 21 (72.4) 27	001 [†]	23 (60.5) 14 (36.8) 38	10 (26.3) 28 (73.7) 38	001 [†] -	23 (60.5) 14 (36.8) 38	7 (18.4) 30 (78.9) 38	002
	Once a day Not at all Less than 1 minutes	92 (44.7) 79 (38.3) 205 (99.5)	62 (30.1) 129 (62.6) 201 (97.6)	-	45 (49.5) 29 (31.9)	31 (34.1) 54 (59.3) 89 (97.8)		13 (44.8) 10 (34.5) 27 (93.1)	7 (24.1) 21 (72.4) 27 (93.1)	-	23 (60.5) 14 (36.8) 38 (100)	10 (26.3) 28 (73.7) 38 (100)	-	23 (60.5) 14 (36.8) 38 (100)	7 (18.4) 30 (78.9) 38 (100)	-
	Once a day Not at all Less than 1 minutes 1-2 minutes	92 (44.7) 79 (38.3) 205 (99.5) 1 (0.5)	62 (30.1) 129 (62.6) 201 (97.6) 5 (2.4)	001 [†]	45 (49.5) 29 (31.9) 88 (96.7)	31 (34.1) 54 (59.3) 89 (97.8) 2 (2.2)		13 (44.8) 10 (34.5) 27 (93.1)	7 (24.1) 21 (72.4) 27 (93.1) 2 (6.9)	001 [†]	23 (60.5) 14 (36.8) 38 (100)	10 (26.3) 28 (73.7) 38 (100) 0	001 [†] 	23 (60.5) 14 (36.8) 38 (100) 0	7 (18.4) 30 (78.9) 38	-
TBF	Once a day Not at all Less than 1 minutes 1-2	92 (44.7) 79 (38.3) 205 (99.5) 1 (0.5)	62 (30.1) 129 (62.6) 201 (97.6) 5	-	45 (49.5) 29 (31.9) 88 (96.7) 3	31 (34.1) 54 (59.3) 89 (97.8) 2		13 (44.8) 10 (34.5) 27 (93.1) 2 (6.9) 0	7 (24.1) 21 (72.4) 27 (93.1) 2 (6.9) 0	-	23 (60.5) 14 (36.8) 38 (100)	10 (26.3) 28 (73.7) 38 (100)	-	23 (60.5) 14 (36.8) 38 (100)	7 (18.4) 30 (78.9) 38 (100)	-
	Once a day Not at all Less than 1 minutes 1-2 minutes More than	92 (44.7) 79 (38.3) 205 (99.5) 1 (0.5)	62 (30.1) 129 (62.6) 201 (97.6) 5 (2.4)	-	45 (49.5) 29 (31.9) 88 (96.7) 3 (3.3) 0 8	31 (34.1) 54 (59.3) 89 (97.8) 2 (2.2) 0 8	1.000*	13 (44.8) 10 (34.5) 27 (93.1) 2 (6.9)	7 (24.1) 21 (72.4) 27 (93.1) 2 (6.9) 0 3	1.000	23 (60.5) 14 (36.8) 38 (100) 0 0 1	10 (26.3) 28 (73.7) 38 (100) 0	-	23 (60.5) 14 (36.8) 38 (100) 0	7 (18.4) 30 (78.9) 38 (100) 0	002'

TBF: Tooth brushing frequency, TBD: Tooth brushing duration, PS: Parental supervision

Table 5. Change of oral hygiene habits according to the employment status of the parents.

References

- Matzinger P, Skinner J. Strong impact of closing schools, closing bars and wearing masks during the Covid-19 pandemic: results from a simple and revealing analysis. Preprint. medRxiv. 2020;2020.09.26.20202457. doi.org:10.1101/2020.09.26.20202457.
- World Health Organization Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Weekly Epidemiological Update and Weekly Operational Update. www.who.int/countries/tur/. Accessed April 8,2022.
- 3. Cruz AT, Zeichner SL. COVID-19 in Children: Initial Characterization of the Pediatric Disease. Pediatrics. 2020;145(6):e20200834. doi:10.1542/peds.2020-0834.
- Gobbi E, Maltagliati S, Sarrazin P, et al. Promoting Physical Activity during School Closures Imposed by the First Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic: Physical Education Teachers' Behaviors in France, Italy and Turkey. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(24):9431. doi:10.3390/ijerph17249431.

- Pietrobelli A, Pecoraro L, Ferruzzi A, et al. Effects of COVID-19 Lockdown on Lifestyle Behaviors in Children with Obesity Living in Verona, Italy: A Longitudinal Study. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2020;28(8):1382-1385. doi:10.1002/oby.22861.
- Kemparaj U, Chavan S, Shetty NL. Caries risk assessment among school children in davangere city using cariogram. Int J Prev Med. 2014;5(5):664-671.
- Policy on Early Childhood Caries (ECC): Classifications, Consequences, and Preventive Strategies. Pediatr Dent. 2016;38(6):52-54.
- Centers for for Disease Control and Prevention. Water, Sanitation & Environmentally-related Hygiene 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/healthywater/hygiene/dental/index.html.Ac cessed April 8,2022.
- Kaul B, Mahajan N, Kotwal B, Gupta S, Vaid V, Kaul S. Effect of Parental Employment and Family Status (Nuclear-Joint) on the Child's Oral Health in Jammu Population: A Cross-sectional Study. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2020;13(5):504-507. doi:10.5005/jp-journals-10005-1825.

Volume · 16 · Number · 3 · 2023

- Hollis-Hansen K, Ferrante MJ, Goldsmith J, Anzman-Frasca S. Family Food Insecurity, Food Acquisition, and Eating Behavior Over 6 Months Into the COVID-19 Pandemic. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2022;54(7):660-669. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2022.04.002.
- 11. World Health Organisation. Oral Health Surveys Basic Methods. 5th ed.
- Efe E, Sarvan S, Kukulu K. Self-reported knowledge and behaviors related to oral and dental health in Turkish children. Issues Compr Pediatr Nurs. 2007 Oct-Dec;30(4):133-46
- Meara ER, Richards S, Cutler DM. The gap gets bigger: changes in mortality and life expectancy, by education, 1981-2000. Health Aff (Millwood).2008;27(2):350-360. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.27.2.350.
- Grant F, Scalvedi ML, Scognamiglio U, Turrini A, Rossi L. Eating Habits during the COVID-19 Lockdown in Italy: The Nutritional and Lifestyle Side Effects of the Pandemic. Nutrients. 2021;13(7):2279. doi:10.3390/nu13072279.
- 15. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. Lancet. 2020;395(10227):912-920. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8
- Yau YH, Potenza MN. Stress and eating behaviors. Minerva Endocrinol. 2013;38(3):255-267.
- Bonaccio M, Gianfagna F, Stival C, et al. Changes in a Mediterranean lifestyle during the COVID-19 pandemic among elderly Italians: an analysis of gender and socioeconomic inequalities in the "LOST in Lombardia" study. Int J Food Sci Nutr. 2022;73(5):683-692. doi:10.1080/09637486.2022.2040009.
- Docimo R, Costacurta M, Gualtieri P, et al. Cariogenic Risk and COVID-19 Lockdown in a Paediatric Population. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(14):7558. Published 2021 Jul 15. doi:10.3390/ijerph18147558.
- López-Bueno R, López-Sánchez GF, Casajús JA, et al. Health-Related Behaviors Among School-Aged Children and Adolescents During the Spanish Covid-19 Confinement. Front Pediatr. 2020;8:573. Published 2020 Sep 11. doi:10.3389/fped.2020.00573.
- Lombardo M, Guseva E, Perrone MA, Müller A, Rizzo G, Storz MA. Changes in Eating Habits and Physical Activity after COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdowns in Italy. Nutrients. 2021;13(12):4522. doi:10.3390/nu13124522.
- 21. Elamin A, Garemo M, Gardner A. Dental caries and their association with socioeconomic characteristics, oral hygiene

practices and eating habits among preschool children in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates - the NOPLAS project. BMC Oral Health. 2018;18(1):104. doi:10.1186/s12903-018-0557-8.

- Angelopoulou MV, Seremidi K, Papaioannou W, Gizani S. The impact of COVID-19 lockdown on the oral health status of paediatric dental patients in Greece [published online ahead of print, 2023 Jan 20]. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2023;10.1111/ipd.13048. doi:10.1111/ipd.13048.
- 23. Daly JM, Levy SM, Xu Y, et al. Changes in Parental Perceptions of Their Care of Their Children's Oral Health From Age 1 to 4 Years. J Prim Care Community Health. 2019;10:2150132719836908. doi:10.1177/2150132719836908.
- Trubey RJ, Moore SC, Chestnutt IG. Parents' reasons for brushing or not brushing their child's teeth: a qualitative study. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2014;24(2):104-112. doi:10.1111/ipd.12034.
- 25. Folayan MO, Zuniga RAA, Ezechi OC, et al. Associations between Emotional Distress, Sleep Changes, Decreased Tooth Brushing Frequency, Self-Reported Oral Ulcers and SARS-Cov-2 Infection during the First Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Global Survey. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(18):11550. doi:10.3390/ijerph191811550.
- 26. Slater J, Sevenhuysen G, Edginton B, O'neil J. 'Trying to make it all come together': structuration and employed mothers' experience of family food provisioning in Canada. Health Promot Int. 2012;27(3):405-415. doi:10.1093/heapro/dar037.
- 27. Goswami M, Grewal M, Garg A. Attitude and practices of parents toward their children's oral health care during COVID-19 pandemic. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2021;39(1):22-28. doi:10.4103/jisppd.jisppd 478 20.
- Saccomanno S, Saran S, Guercio E, Mastrapasqua RF, Pirino A, Scoppa F. The Influence of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Orthodontic Treatments: A Survey Analysis. Dent J (Basel). 2022;10(2):15. doi:10.3390/dj10020015.
- Tinanoff N, Baez RJ, Diaz Guillory C, et al. Early childhood caries epidemiology, aetiology, risk assessment, societal burden, management, education, and policy: Global perspective. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2019;29(3):238-248. doi:10.1111/ipd.12484.
- Kalyoncu IÖ, Özcan G, Kargül B. Oral health practice and health-related quality of life of a group of children during the early stage of the COVID-19 pandemic in Istanbul. J Educ Health Promot. 2021;10:313. Published 2021 Aug 31. doi:10.4103/jehp.jehp_1311_20.